arXiv:1511.04928v3 [math.DG] 19 Jul 2016

Stable Yang-Mills connections on Special Holonomy Manifolds

Teng Huang

Abstract

We prove that energy minimizing Yang-Mills connections with certain condition on compact G_2-manifolds with holonomy equal to G_2 are G_2-instantons. We prove related results for compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds with holonomy equal to $SU(3)$.

Keywords. Stable Yang-Mills connection; G_2-instanton; Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection

1 Introduction

Let G be a compact Lie group and E a principal G-bundle on a complete oriented Riemannian manifold M. Let A denote a connection on E and ∇_A the associated covariant derivative on the adjoint bundle $\mathfrak{g}_E$. The Yang-Mills energy of A is

$$YM(A) := \|F_A\|^2_{L^2(M)}$$

where F_A denotes the curvature of A. A connections is called a Yang-Mills connection if it is a critical point of the Yang-Mills functional.

It is well known that, on a 4-manifold, a special class of solutions exists that are automatically global minimizers of this functional. These are the self-dual or anti-self-dual connections, also called instantons. The fact that they are global minimizers of the Yang-Mills functional is a consequence of an energy identity that relates the L^2-norms of the self-dual or anti-self-dual components of F_A to topological data (characteristic classes) of the bundle. Not all Yang-Mills connections are instantons. See [15, 18] for example of $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills connection on S^4 which are neither self-dual nor anti-self-dual.

T. Huang: Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, PR China; e-mail: oula143@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 53C07; 58E15

It is an interesting question to consider, rather than global minimizers of YM, the local minimizers. These are also known as stable critical points, characterized by the fact that the second variation of YM at such a critical point is nonnegative. Earlier work by Bourguignon and Lawson [4] used variational techniques to argue that if M was a compact, homogeneous 4-manifold, and the structure group of the vector bundle E was $SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$, then a stable Yang-Mills connection is either an instanton or abelian (a direct sum of connections on $U(1)$ bundles).

In [19], Stern considered the minimizing Yang-Mills connections on compact homogeneous 4-manifold, he proved that those connections were ether instantons or split into a sum of instantons on passage to the adjoint bundle.

In higher dimensions, the instanton equation on M can be introduced as follows. Assuming there is a closed $(n-4)$-form Ω on M (For our purposes, M is a G_2 manifold and Ω is the fundamental 3-form $\phi^{(3)}$ or M is a Calabi-Yau 3-fold and Ω is the $(1,1)$-form ω). A connection, A , is called anti-self-dual instanton, when it satisfies the instanton equation

$$F_A = - * (\Omega \wedge F_A).$$

Instantons on the higher dimension, proposed in [5] and studied in [7, 8, 11, 14, 20, 23], are important both in mathematics [8, 20] and string theory [10].

It is a very interesting problem for us to study when stable Yang-Mills connection is instanton on higher dimension. In this paper we consider the stable Yang-Mills connections over compact G_2-manifolds and compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds.

On G_2-manifolds, the 2-forms decompose as

$$\Lambda^2(M) = \Lambda^2_7(M) + \Lambda^2_{14}(M).$$

where the fiber of Λ^2_k is an irreducible G_2 representation of dimension k. Let $F_A = F^7_A + F^{14}_A$ be the corresponding decomposition of the curvature. Then we call a connection, A, is a G_2-instanton, if $F^7_A = 0$ (see [7, 14]).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(M, \phi^{(3)})$ be a compact G_2-manifold with holonomy equal to G_2 and A be a connection on a bundle E over M with compact, semi-simple Lie group. If A is a stable Yang-Mills connection and ψ_A is d_A-harmonic, here $3\psi_A = *(F^7_A \wedge \psi^{(4)})$, then A is a G_2-instanton.*

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 extends the idea of Stern [19]. Let $A(t)$ be a smooth family of connections on E with $A(0) = A$. The assumption that A is a local minimum of the Yang-Mills energy implies the variation inequality

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mid_{t=0} YM(A(t)) \geq 0.$$

The proof of the theorem relies on choosing useful families of test connection with the difference, $A(t) - A$, constructed from F_A. In [4], the test connection $A(t) = A + ti_X F_A^+$ was used, where i_X denotes interior multiplication by the vector field X, and X runs over a basis of Killing vector fields. In [19], Stern's proof of Theorem 1.1 extends the variational argument of Bourguignon, Lawson, and Simons [4].

The curvature is the only natural object from which to construct test variations, but we need a map from 2-forms to 1-forms in order to create test variations from the curvature 2-form. In G_2-manifold, there exists a covariant 3-form $\phi^{(3)}$, it induce natural map from 2-forms to 1-forms (see Proposition 3.8).

On a Kähler 3-manifold with Kähler form ω the curvature decomposes as

$$F_A = F_A^{2,0} + F_{A0}^{1,1} + \frac{1}{3}(\Lambda_\omega F_A) \otimes \omega + F_A^{0,2},$$

where Λ_ω denotes the adjoint of exterior multiplication by ω, and $F_{A0}^{1,1} = F_A^{1,1} - \frac{1}{3}\hat{F}_A \otimes \omega$, we denote $\hat{F}_A = \frac{1}{3}(\Lambda_\omega F_A)$. We called a connection A is a ω-instanton, if F_A satisfies

$$F_A = - * (\omega \wedge F_A).$$

The Kähler identity (see for example [24] Theorem 3.16)

$$\omega \wedge F_A = *(F_A^{0,2} + \frac{2}{3}\hat{F}_A \otimes \omega - F_{A0}^{1,1} + F_A^{0,2}).$$

Then a ω-instanton over a Kähler 3-fold if only if

$$F_A^{0,2} = 0 \quad and \quad \Lambda_\omega F_A = 0.$$

By Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau theorem [6, 21], the bundle must be polystable.

In the case of Calabi-Yau 3-folds CY^3, we consider a vector bundle $E \to CY^3$ over (CY^3, ω). The Riemannian product manifold $M := CY^3 \times S$ is a naturally a real 7-dimensional G_2-manifold ([13], 11.1.2). We pulls back a connection A on $E \to CY^3$ to $p_1^* E \to M$ via the canonical projection

$$p_1 : CY^3 \times S^1 \to CY^3.$$

From [16] Proposition 8, the canonical projection gives a one-to-one correspondence Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections on E and S^1-invariant G_2-instantons on the pullback bundle $p_1^* E$. In Section 4.1, we observation that the pullback connection $p_1^* A$ such that $\psi_{p_1^* A}$ is harmonic is equivalent to $\xi_A = *(F_A^{0,2} \wedge \Omega)$ is also harmonic.

Corollary 1.2. *Let (CY^3, ω, Ω) be a compact Calabi-Yau 3-fold with holonomy equal to $SU(3)$, let A be a connection on a bundle E over CY^3 with compact, semi-simple Lie group. If A is a stable Yang-Mills connection and ξ_A is $\bar{\partial}_A$-harmonic, here $\xi_A = *(F_A^{0,2} \wedge \Omega)$, then $(E, \bar{\partial}_A)$ is a holomorphic bundle. Further more, if A is irreducible, then A is a ω-instanton.*

Definition 1.3. ([12]) A connection on a Kähler manifold is said to be with harmonic curvature if $F_A^{0,2}$ is $\bar{\partial}_A$-harmonic (i.e. $\bar{\partial}_A^* F_A^{0,2} = 0$ and $\bar{\partial}_A F_A^{0,2} = 0$).

A connection on a principal bundle with harmonic curvature when it gives a critical point of the energy $\|F_A^{0,2}\|_{L^2(M)}$. In [12], Itoh proved a irreducible Yang-Mills connection with harmonic curvature on a compact Kähler surface with positive scalar curvature must be anti-self-dual. In higher dimension, Stern [19] consider the minimum energy of $\|F_A^{0,2}\|_{L^2(M)}$ over a complete Calabi-Yau 3-fold and proved that if the Calabi-Yau 3-fold with holonomy equal to $SU(3)$, the bundle is holomorphic.

Theorem 1.4. *Let CY^3 be a compact Calabi-Yau 3-fold with holonomy equal to $SU(3)$, let A be a connection on a bundle E over CY^3 with compact, semi-simple Lie group. If A is a stable Yang-Mills connection with harmonic curvature, then $(E, \bar{\partial}_A)$ is a holomorphic bundle. Further more, if A is irreducible, then A is a ω-instanton.*

2 Preliminaries

First, we recall some standard notations and definitions.

Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold and E a principal G bundle over M, with G a compact Lie group. Let $\mathfrak{g}_E$ denote the adjoint bundle of E, endowed with a G-invariant inner product. Let $\Lambda^p(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$ denote the smooth p-forms with values in $\mathfrak{g}_E$. Given a connection on E, we denote by ∇_A the corresponding covariant derivative on $\Lambda^*(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$ induced by A and the Levi-Civita connection of M. Let d_A denote the exterior derivative associated to ∇_A. For ∇_A and d_A, we have adjoint operators ∇_A^* and d_A^*. We also have Weitzenböck formula ([4], Theorem 3.2)

$$(d_A d_A^* + d_A^* d_A)\varphi = \nabla_A^* \nabla_A \varphi + \varphi \circ Ric + R^A(\varphi) \tag{2.1}$$

where $\varphi \in \Lambda^1(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$, Ric is the Ricci tensor.

In a local orthonormal frame $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ of TM , the operators of $\varphi \circ Ric$ and $R^A(\varphi)$ are defined by Bourguignon and Lawson [4] as follows.

$$\varphi \circ Ric(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} R_{ij} \varphi_j.$$

and

$$R^A(\varphi)_X \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} [F_A(e_j, X), \varphi_j].$$

We are interested in minima of the Yang-Mills energy

$$YM(A) = \|F_A\|^2_{L^2(M)},$$

where F_A denotes the curvature of A. Critical points of this energy satisfy the Yang-Mills equation

$$d_A^* F_A = 0,$$

where d_A^* denotes adjoint of d_A. In addition, all connections satisfy the Bianchi identity

$$d_A F_A = 0$$

If $\psi \in \Lambda^1(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$ then

$$F_{A+\psi} = F_A + d_A\psi + \psi \wedge \psi. \tag{2.2}$$

As a notional convenience, we will often use L_ω to denote exterior multiplication on the left by a form ω. Its adjoint is denote Λ_ω. Thus

$$L_\omega h := \omega \wedge h, \ and \ \langle f, L_\omega h\rangle = \langle \Lambda_\omega f, h\rangle.$$

If A minimizes the Yang-Mills energy, then of course it satisfies the inequality

$$\|F_A\|^2 \leq \|F_{A+\psi}\|^2 \tag{2.3}$$

for all smooth compactly supported ψ. Replacing ψ by $t\psi$ in (2.3), using (2.2), and taking the limit as $t \to 0$ leads to the second variation inequality

$$0 \leq \|d_A\psi\|^2 + 2\langle F_A, \psi \wedge \psi\rangle \tag{2.4}$$

3 Yang-Mills connection and G_2-instanton

3.1 G_2-manifolds

This section is devoted to the background language for the subsequent analytical investigation. The main references are [2, 3, 16, 13, 17, 22].

Ultimately we want to consider 7-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with holonomy group G_2. We adopt the conventions of [17] for the definition of G_2; denoting by $\{e^i\}_{i=1}^7$ the standard basis of $(\mathbb{R}^7)^*$, $e^{ij} = e^i \wedge e^j$ etc.

Definition 3.1. The group G_2 is the subgroup of $GL(7)$ preserving the 3-form

$$\phi_0 = (e^{12} - e^{34}) \wedge e^5 + (e^{13} - e^{42}) \wedge e^6 + (e^{14} - e^{23}) \wedge e^7 + e^{567}$$

under the standard (pull back) action on $\Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^7)^*$, i.e.

$$G_2 := \{g \in GL(7) | g^*\phi_0 = \phi_0\}.$$

In particular, ϕ_0 defines a Euclidean metric

$$\langle a, b\rangle = *\big(a \lrcorner \phi_0) \wedge (b \lrcorner \phi_0) \wedge \phi_0\big). \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 3.2. *The subgroup $G_2 \subset SO(7) \subset GL(7)$ is compact, connected, simple and simply-connected and $dim(G_2) = 14$.*

Definition 3.3. A G_2-structure on the 7-manifold M is a 3-form $\phi^{(3)} \in \Lambda^3(T^*M)$ such that, at every point $p \in M$, $\phi^{(3)}_p = f^*_p(\phi_0)$ for some frame $f_p : T_pM \to \mathbb{R}^7$.

Since $G_2 \subset SO(7)$, $\phi^{(3)}$ fixes the orientation given by some such frame f and also the metric $g = g(\phi^{(3)})$ given pointwise by (3.1). We may refer indiscriminately to $\phi^{(3)}$ or g as the G_2-structure. The torsion of $\phi^{(3)}$ is the covariant $\nabla\phi^{(3)}$ by the induced Levi-Civita connection, and $\phi^{(3)}$ is torsion-free if $\nabla\phi^{(3)} = 0$.

Definition 3.4. A G_2-manifold is a 7-manifold M equipped with a torsion free G_2-structure $\phi^{(3)}$.

Example 3.5. (1) Let (CY^3, ω) be a Calabi-Yau 3-fold, then $CY^3 \times S^1$ is a G_2-manifold.
(2) Let (X, g, I_1, I_2, I_3) be a hyperkähler 4-manifold, then $T^3 \times X$ is a G_2-manifold.

The above example have holonomy strictly contained in G_2. This is clear from their construction, but can also be seen as a consequence of their topology since a G_2-manifold $(M, \phi^{(3)})$ satisfies $Hol(M) = G_2$ if only if $\pi_1(M)$ is finite, see Joyce ([13], Proposition 10.2.2).

3.2 Gauge theory in G_2-manifolds

Under the action of G_2, the space $\Lambda^\bullet V^*$, where V is a seven-dimensional space, splits into irreducible representations, as follows.

$$\Lambda^2 V^* = \Lambda^2_7 \oplus \Lambda^2_{14}$$

$$\Lambda^3 V^* = \Lambda^3_1 \oplus \Lambda^3_7 \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}$$

where Λ^p_d denotes an irreducible G_2 representation of dimension d. Clearly $\Lambda^\bullet V^* = \Lambda^{7-\bullet} V^*$ as a G_2-representation, and the space $\Lambda^4 V^*$ and $\Lambda^5 V^*$ split in a similar fashion. The space Λ^0 and Λ^1 are irreducible.

Let $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_7\}$ denote the basis of $\Lambda^1 V^*$, we denote

$$\phi^{(3)} = e^{567} + \omega_1 \wedge e^5 + \omega_2 \wedge e^6 + \omega_3 \wedge e^7,$$

with

$$\omega_1 = e^{12} - e^{34}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{13} - e^{42} \quad and \quad \omega^3 = e^{14} - e^{23}.$$

These summands for $\Lambda^2 V^*$ can be characterized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^2_7 &= \{\alpha \in \Lambda^2 \mid *(\alpha \wedge \phi^{(3)}) = 2\alpha\} = \{\imath_v \phi^{(3)} \mid v \in V\} \\ &= \{\alpha \in \Lambda^2 V^* \mid *(\psi^{(4)} \wedge *(\psi^{(4)} \wedge \alpha)) = 3\alpha\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\Lambda^2_{14} = \{\alpha \in \Lambda^2 V^* \mid *(\alpha \wedge \phi^{(3)}) = -\alpha\} = \{\alpha \in \Lambda^2 V^* \mid \alpha \wedge \psi^{(4)} = 0\}. \tag{3.3}$$

There characterizations allow us to write the projection operator onto Λ^2_7 space $\Pi^2_7 : \Lambda^2 V^* \to \Lambda^2_7$ in two ways:

$$\alpha \mapsto \Pi^2_7(\alpha) = \frac{1}{3}(\alpha + *(\phi^{(3)} \wedge \alpha)) = \frac{1}{3} * (\psi^{(4)} \wedge (\psi^{(4)} \wedge \alpha)). \tag{3.4}$$

On a G_2 manifold the three-form $\phi^{(3)}$ gives an associative calibration and the four-form $\psi^{(4)}$ gives a coassociative calibration. A three-dimensional submanifold $A^3_\Sigma \subset Y^7$ is called associative if the restriction of the three-form $\phi^{(3)}$ to it is the volume form of $A^3_\Sigma : \phi^{(3)}|_{A^3_\Sigma} = Vol_{A^3_\Sigma}$. A four-dimensional submanifold $C^4_W \subset Y^7$ is coassociative if the restriction of the four-form $\psi^{(4)}$ to it equals its volume form: $\psi^{(4)}|_{C^4_W} = Vol_{C^4_W}$. We define a projective map $\Pi^2_7 : \Lambda^2(M) \to \Lambda^2_7(M)$.

Proposition 3.6. *If $\Psi \in \Lambda^2(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$, then*

$$3\|\Pi^2_7(\Psi)\|^2_{L^2(M)} = \|\varphi\|^2_{L^2(M)},$$

where $\varphi = *(\Psi \wedge \psi^{(4)})$.

Proof. For any $\Psi \in \Lambda^2(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$, we denote

$$\Psi = \Psi^7 + \Psi^{14},$$

where $\Psi^7 \in \Lambda^2_7(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$ and $\Psi^{14} \in \Lambda^2_{14}(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$. There exists $\varphi \in \Lambda^1(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$, such that

$$\Psi^7 = *(\varphi \wedge \psi^{(4)}).$$

We have a identity hold for $\forall \beta \in \Lambda^1(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$ (see [3]),

$$*\big(* (\beta \wedge \psi^{(4)}) \wedge \psi^{(4)}\big) = 3\beta.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} *\big(* (\Psi \wedge \psi^{(4)}) \wedge \psi^{(4)}\big) &= *\big(* (\Psi^7 \wedge \psi^{(4)}) \wedge \psi^{(4)}\big) \\ &= *\big(* (*(\varphi \wedge \psi^{(4)}) \wedge \psi^{(4)}) \wedge \psi^{(4)}\big) \\ &= *(3\varphi \wedge \psi^{(4)})) = 3\Psi^7. \end{aligned}$$

The L^2-inner product of above identity with Ψ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 3\|\Pi^2_7(\Psi)\|^2_{L^2(M)} &= -3\int_M tr(\Pi^2_7(\Psi) \wedge *\Pi^2_7(\Psi)) \\ &= -\int_M tr\big(* (*(\Psi \wedge \psi^{(4)}) \wedge \psi^{(4)}) \wedge *\Psi\big) \\ &= \|\Psi \wedge \psi^{(4)}\|^2_{L^2(M)} = \|\varphi\|^2_{L^2(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Consider a vector bundle $E \to M$ over a compact G_2-manifold $(M, \phi^{(3)})$, the curvature F_A of connection A decomposes as:

$$F_A = F_A^7 + F_A^{14}, \quad F_A^i \in \Lambda_i^2(M, \mathfrak{g}_E), \quad i = 7, 14.$$

The Yang-Mills functional is

$$YM(A) := \|F_A\|^2_{L^2(M)} = \|F_A^7\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \|F_A^{14}\|^2_{L^2(M)}. \tag{3.5}$$

It is well-known that the values of $YM(A)$ can be related to a certain characteristic class of the bundle E, given by

$$\kappa(E) := -\int_M tr(F_A^2) \wedge \phi^{(3)}.$$

Using the property $d\phi^{(3)} = 0$, we can know that the de-Rham class $[tr(F_A^2) \wedge \phi^{(3)}]$ is independent of A, thus the integral is a topological invariant. From the decomposes of F_A, we have

$$\kappa(E) = -2\|F_A^7\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \|F_A^{14}\|^2_{L^2(M)},$$

and combing with (3.5) we get

$$YM(A) = 3\|F_A^7\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \kappa(E).$$

Hence $YM(A)$ attains its absolute minimum at a connection whose curvature lies either in Λ_7^2 or in Λ_{14}^2. We call a connection A is a G_2-instanton, if F_A satisfies

$$F_A \wedge \psi^{(4)} = 0,$$

or, equivalently

$$F_A + *(F_A \wedge \phi^{(3)}) = 0.$$

Example 3.7. (1) Let CY^3 be a Calabi-Yau 3-fold, let E be a holomorphic vector bundle over CY^3 and let A be a ω-instanton on E, that is, a connection on E whose curvature F_A satisfy $F_A = - *_{CY^3} (F_A \wedge \omega)$. Then the pullback of A to the G_2-manifold $CY^3 \times S^1$ from Section 4.1 is a G_2-instanton:

$$F_A \wedge \psi^{(4)} = F_A \wedge (\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 - Re\Omega \wedge d\theta) = 0.$$

Here we used that $F_A \wedge \omega^2 = F_A \wedge \Omega = 0$ and $*_{CY^3}$ denotes the Hodge $*$-operator on CY^3.

(2) Let X be a hyperkäher 4-fold, let E be a G-bundle over X and let A be a anti-self-dual connection on E. Then the pullback of A to the G_2-manifold $T^3 \times X$ from Section 4.2 is a G_2-instanton:

$$F_A \wedge \psi^{(4)} = F_A \wedge (\frac{1}{2}\omega_1 \wedge \omega_1 + \delta^2 \wedge \delta^3 \wedge \omega_1 + \delta^3 \wedge \delta^1 \wedge \omega_2 - \delta^1 \wedge \delta^2 \wedge \omega_3) = 0.$$

Here we used that $F_A \wedge \omega_i = 0$.

3.3 Stable Yang-Mills connections and G_2-instantons

We return to consider the Yang-Mills connection over G_2-manifolds. Let A be a Yang-Mills connection, then F_A is a d_A-harmonic $\mathfrak{g}_E$ value 2-form on M. Write $F_A = F_A^7 + F_A^{14}$, here $F_A^i \in \Lambda_i^2(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$, $i = 7, 14$. Then we have

$$F_A^7 = \frac{1}{3}\big(F_A + *(F_A \wedge \phi^{(3)})\big).$$

By Bianchi identity $d_A F_A = 0$, we have

$$d_A^* F_A^7 = \frac{1}{3} * d_A(F_A \wedge \phi^{(3)}) = 0.$$

Since A is a Yang-Mills connection, we also get

$$d_A^* F_A^{14} = 0.$$

Following Verbitsky [22], on G_2-manifold we can define the structure operator,

$$C : \Lambda^*(M) \to \Lambda^{*+1}(M),$$

which satisfies:

(1) $C\mid_{\Lambda^0}(\cdot) = 0$;
(2) $C\mid_{\Lambda^1}(\cdot) = *(\psi^{(4)} \wedge \cdot)$;
(3) $C(\alpha \wedge \beta) = C(\alpha) \wedge \beta + (-1)^{deg(\alpha)}\alpha \wedge \beta$.

Proposition 3.8. *([22] Proposition 3.13) Let $(M, \phi^{(3)})$ be a G_2 manifold, and C its structure operator. Then C induces isomorphisms*

$$\Lambda_7^i \longrightarrow \Lambda_7^{i+1},\ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5).$$

From above proposition, there exists $\psi_A \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$ such that

$$C(\psi_A) = F_A^7,$$

i.e.

$$*(\psi^{(4)} \wedge \psi_A) = F_A^7.$$

Used the fact, $\forall \alpha \in \Lambda^1(M)$, $*\big(*(\alpha \wedge *\phi) \wedge *\phi\big) = 3\alpha$ (see [3]). We can get

$$\psi_A = \frac{1}{3}\big(*(F_A^7 \wedge \psi^{(4)})\big). \tag{3.6}$$

Lemma 3.9. *Let A be a connection on a compact G_2-manifold, then*

$$d_A^* \psi_A = 0,$$

where ψ_A is defined as (3.6). Furthermore, if A is a Yang-Mills connection, ψ_A also satisfies

$$\Pi_7^2(d_A \psi_A) = 0.$$

Proof. First from the Bianchi identity $d_A F_A = 0$, we have

$$d_A F_A^7 + d_A F_A^{14} = 0.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (d_A F_A^7 + d_A F_A^{14}) \wedge \psi^{(4)} \\ &= d_A(F_A^7 \wedge \psi^{(4)}) + d_A(F_A^{14} \wedge \psi^{(4)}) \\ &= 3 d_A * \psi_A = -3 * d_A^* \psi_A. \end{aligned}$$

We applying operator d_A^* to (3.6) each side, then we get

$$* (d_A \psi_A \wedge \psi^{(4)}) = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

Then form Proposition 3.6 and (3.7), we have

$$\Pi_7^2(d_A \psi_A) = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

□

In this article, we need assume ψ_A is d_A-harmonic.

Proposition 3.10. *Let A be a Yang-Mills connection on a compact G_2-manifold. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $d_A \psi_A = 0$,

(2) $[F_A, \psi_A] = 0$,

where ψ_A is defined as in (3.6).

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$: It's easy to see.

$(2) \Rightarrow (1)$: Since ψ_A satisfy $\Pi_7^2(d_A \psi_A) = 0$, i.e.,

$$d_A \psi_A + *(d_A \psi_A \wedge \phi^{(3)}) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$d_A^* d_A \psi_A + *([F_A \wedge \psi_A] \wedge \phi^{(3)}) = 0.$$

Since $[F_A \wedge \psi_A] = 0$, then $d_A^* d_A \psi_A = 0$, thus $d_A \psi_A = 0$. □

Remark 3.11. (1) Let $E \to CY^3$ be a vector bundle over a Calabi-Yau 3-fold (CY^3, ω). Then the Riemannian product $M = CY^3 \times S^1$ is naturally a real 7-dimensional G_2-manifold. A connection A on $E \to CY^3$ pull back to $p_1^* E \to M$ via the canonical projection $p_1 : CY^3 \times S^1 \to CY^3$. If A is a Yang-Mills connection and $\xi_A : *_A(F_A^{0,2} \wedge \Omega)$ is d_A-harmonic, it's equivalent to $\psi_{p_1^* A}$ is harmonic (see Proposition 4.4).

(2) Let $E \to X$ be a vector bundle over a hyperkähler 4-fold X. Then the Riemannian product $M = T^3 \times X$ is also a real 7-dimensional G_2-manifold. A connection A on $E \to X$ pull back to $p_2^* E \to M$ via the canonical projection $p_2 : T^3 \times X \to X$. If A is a Yang-Mills connection with harmonic curvature is equivalent to $\psi_{p_2^* A}$ is harmonic. (see Proposition 4.11).

Lemma 3.12. *Let M be a compact G_2-manifold and A be a connection on a bundle E over M with compact, semi-simple Lie group. If A is a stable Yang-Mills connection, then*

$$[*F_A^7 \wedge \eta] = 0.$$

where $\eta \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$ is harmonic.

Proof. At first, we consider the variation $A + ta$, here $a \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$, hence we have

$$\|F_{A+ta}\|^2 = 3\|F_{A+ta}^7\|^2 + \kappa(E). \tag{3.9}$$

In a direct calculate, we have

$$F_{A+t\eta}^7 = F_A^7 + t\Pi_7^2(d_A a) + t^2\Pi_7^2(a \wedge a).$$

Since A be a stability Yang-Mills connection, then for $a \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0}\|F_{A+ta}\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \geq 0,$$

i.e.

$$\|\Pi_2^7(d_A a)\|^2 + 2\langle F_A^7, a \wedge a\rangle \geq 0. \tag{3.10}$$

We assume η is harmonic, i.e., $d_A^*\eta = d_A\eta = 0$, then the Weitzenböck formula gives

$$\nabla_A^*\nabla_A\eta + R^A(\eta) = 0, \tag{3.11}$$

here we have used the manifold of the Ricci-curvature on G_2-manifold. Hence the L^2-inner product of (3.11) with η, we obtain

$$\|\nabla_A\eta\|^2 + \langle F_A, [\eta \wedge \eta]\rangle = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Since $d_A\eta = 0$, thus $d_A d_A\eta = [F_A, \eta] = 0$, then

$$*\left([F_A \wedge \eta] \wedge \phi\right) = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

The L^2-inner product of (3.13) with η

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle *([F_A \wedge \eta] \wedge \phi), \eta\rangle = -\langle *([F_A \wedge \phi, \eta]), \eta\rangle \\ &= -\langle *([2 * F_A^7 - *F_A^{14}, \eta]), \eta\rangle \\ &= -2\langle F_A^7, [\eta \wedge \eta]\rangle + \langle F_A^{14}, [\eta \wedge \eta]\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$2\langle F_A^7, [\eta \wedge \eta]\rangle = \langle F_A^{14}, [\eta \wedge \eta]\rangle. \tag{3.14}$$

From (3.12) and (3.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_A\eta\|^2 &= -\langle F_A, [\eta \wedge \eta]\rangle = -\langle F_A^7, [\eta \wedge \eta]\rangle - \langle F_A^{14}, [\eta \wedge \eta]\rangle \\ &= -3\langle F_A^7, [\eta \wedge \eta]\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.10) and $d_A\eta = 0$, we have

$$\langle F_A^7, [\eta \wedge \eta]\rangle \geq 0. \tag{3.16}$$

From (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$\langle F_A^7, \eta \wedge \eta\rangle = 0$$

Now, we consider the variant $t\eta \pm t^{\frac{3}{2}}\omega$, from (3.10) we can get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|\Pi_2^7\big(d_A(t\eta \pm t^{\frac{3}{2}}\omega)\big)\|^2_{L^2(M)} + 2\langle F_A^7, (t\eta \pm t^{\frac{3}{2}}\omega) \wedge (t\eta \pm t^{\frac{3}{2}}\omega)\rangle \\ &= t^3\|\Pi_2^7(d_A\omega)\|^2 \pm 2t^{\frac{5}{2}}\langle F_A^7, [\eta \wedge \omega]\rangle + t^3\langle F_A^7, \omega \wedge \omega\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$0 \leq t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\Pi_2^7(d_A\omega)\|^2 \pm 2\langle F_A^7, [\eta \wedge \omega]\rangle + t^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle F_A^7, \omega \wedge \omega\rangle.$$

Taking $t \to 0$, then we have

$$0 = \langle F_A^7, [\eta \wedge \omega]\rangle = \langle *[*F_A^7, \eta], \omega\rangle,$$

for all $\omega \in \Lambda^1(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$. Then we have

$$[*F_A^7, \eta] = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

□

Lemma 3.13. *Let M be a compact G_2-manifold, let A be a stable Yang-Mills connection with ψ_A is harmonic, then*

$$YM(A + t\psi_A) = YM(A).$$

Proof. Since $F_A^7 = *(\psi_A \wedge \psi^{(4)})$, then $*F_A^7 = \psi_A \wedge \psi^{(4)}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} [*F_A^7, \psi_A] &= (\psi_A \wedge \psi^{(4)}) \wedge \psi_A + \psi_A \wedge (\psi_A \wedge \psi^{(4)}) \\ &= 2(\psi_A \wedge \psi_A) \wedge \psi^{(4)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ_A is harmonic, from Lemma 3.12, we obtain

$$0 = [*F_A^7, \psi_A] = (\psi_A \wedge \psi_A) \wedge \psi^{(4)}. \tag{3.18}$$

i.e.

$$\psi_A \wedge \psi_A \in \Lambda^2_{14}(M, \mathfrak{g}_E).$$

Choosing $A(t) = A + t\psi_A$, then we get

$$F^7_{A+t\psi_A} = F_A + t\Pi^2_7(d_A\psi_A) + t^2\Pi^2_7(\psi_A \wedge \psi_A) = F_A^7.$$

Then, we have

$$YM(A + t\psi_A) = YM(A).$$

□

The preceding Lemma implies that the quartic polynomial in t, $YM(A + t\psi_A)$ is in fact constant. Here its t^4 coefficient vanish. We can get for identity,

$$0 = \psi_A \wedge \psi_A.$$

Theorem 3.14. *Let M be a compact G_2-manifold with holonomy equal to G_2, let A be a connection on a bundle E over M with compact, semi-simple Lie group. If A is a stable Yang-Mills connection with ψ_A is harmonic, here ψ_A is defined as in (3.6), then A is a G_2-instanton.*

Proof. Let $R_{ij}dx^i \wedge dx^j$ denote the Riemann curvature tensor viewed as an $ad(T^*M)$ valued 2-form, The vanishing of $\nabla_A\psi_A$ implies

$$0 = [\nabla_i, \nabla_j]\psi_A = ad((F_{ij}) + R_{ij})\psi_A$$

for all i, j. Because ψ_A takes values in an abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_E$, $[F_{ij}, \psi_A] \perp R_{ij}\psi_A$. Hence $R_{ij}\psi_A = 0$, and the components of ψ_A are in the kernel of the Riemannian curvature operator. This reduces the Riemannian holonomy group, unless $\psi_A = 0$ which implies $F_A^7 = 0$ (from Proposition 3.6). Thus, we have the dichotomy: $\psi_A \neq 0$ implies a reduction of the holonomy of M, and ψ_A implies the connection A is G_2-instanton. □

4 Dimensional Reduction

Let M be a Kähler manifold with Kähler metric g and E a principal G bundle over M, with G a compact semi-simple Lie group. The curvature splits into $F_A = F_A^{2,0} + F_A^{1,1} + F_A^{0,2}$, where $F_A^{p,q}$ is the (p, q)-component. Then we get from the Bianchi identity

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_A F_A^{2,0} = \bar\partial_A F_A^{0,2} = 0\\
&\bar\partial_A F_A^{2,0} + \partial_A F_A^{1,1} = \partial_A F_A^{0,2} + \bar\partial_A F_A^{1,1} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Decompose the curvature, F_A, as

$$F_A = F_A^{2,0} + F_{A0}^{1,1} + \frac{1}{n}\hat F_A \otimes \omega + F_A^{0,2}$$

where $\hat F_A := \Lambda_\omega F_A$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let A be a Yang-Mills connection on a bundle E over a Kähler n-fold, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1)\ 2\bar\partial_A^* F_A^{0,2} = \sqrt{-1}\bar\partial_A \hat F_A,\\
&(2)\ 2\partial_A^* F_A^{2,0} = -\sqrt{-1}\partial_A \hat F_A.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only prove the first identity, the second's proof is similar. Recall that the Bianchi equations $d_A F_A = 0$, we take $(1,2)$ part, it implies that

$$0 = \bar{\partial}_A F_{A0}^{1,1} + \frac{1}{n}\bar{\partial}_A(\hat{F}_A \otimes \omega) + \partial_A F_A^{0,2}. \tag{4.1}$$

The Yang-Mills connection $d_A^* F_A = 0$, we take $(0,1)$ part, it implies that

$$\partial_A^*(F_{A0} + \frac{1}{n}\hat{F}_A \otimes \omega) + \bar{\partial}_A^* F_A^{0,2} = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

By Kähler identities

$$[\Lambda_\omega, \bar{\partial}_A] = -\sqrt{-1}\partial_A^* \quad and \quad [\Lambda_w, \partial_A] = \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}_A^*.$$

We can write (4.2) to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sqrt{-1}[\Lambda_\omega, \bar{\partial}_A](F_{A0} + \frac{1}{n}\hat{F}_A \otimes \omega) - \sqrt{-1}[\Lambda_w, \partial_A]F_A^{0,2} \\ &= \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(\bar{\partial}_A F_{A0}) + \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega\bar{\partial}_A(\frac{1}{n}\hat{F}_A \otimes \omega) - \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}_A\hat{F}_A - \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_w\partial_A F_A^{0,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

By (4.1) and (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& -2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega\partial_A F_A^{0,2} - \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}_A\hat{F}_A \\ =& 2\bar{\partial}_A^* F_A^{0,2} - \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}_A\hat{F}_A. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.2. *Let A be a Yang-Mills connection on a bundle E over a compact Kähler n-fold. Then following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $\bar{\partial}_A^* F_A^{0,2} = 0$;

(2) $[F_A^{0,2}, \hat{F}_A] = 0$;

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$: It's easy to see form Proposition 4.1.

$(2) \Rightarrow (1)$: since $2\bar{\partial}_A^* F_A^{0,2} = \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}_A\hat{F}_A$, then

$$2\bar{\partial}_A\bar{\partial}_A^* F_A^{0,2} = \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}_A\bar{\partial}_A\hat{F}_A = 2\sqrt{-1}[F_A^{0,2}, \hat{F}_A] = 0,$$

then $\bar{\partial}_A\bar{\partial}_A^* F_A^{0,2} = 0$, hence we have $\bar{\partial}_A^* F_A^{0,2} = 0$. □

4.1 Calabi-Yau 3-fold

Let CY^3 be a Calabi-Yau 3-fold, we will see that the Cartesian product $M = CY^3 \times S^1$ is a naturally a real 7-dimensional G_2-manifold. Starting with the Kähler form $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}(CY^3)$ and holomorphic volume form Ω on CY^3, define

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{(3)} &= \omega \wedge d\theta + Im\Omega, \\ \psi^{(4)} &= \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 - Re\Omega \wedge d\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Here θ is the coordinate 1-form on S^1, and the Hodge start on M is given by the product of the Kähler metric on CY^3 and the standard flat metric on S^1.

Let θ be the standard parameter on the factor S^1 in the $CY^3 \times S^1$ and let $\{x^j\}_{j=1}^6$ be local coordinates of CY^3. A connection $\mathcal{A}$ over M is given by a local connection matrix

$$\mathcal{A} = A_0 d\theta + \sum_{i=1}^{6} A_i dx^i.$$

where A_0 and A_i dependence on all variable $\theta, x^1, \ldots, x^6$. We denote $A = \sum_{i=1}^6 A_i dx^i$ and $\dot{A} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta}$, then the curvature is given by

$$F_{\mathcal{A}} = F_A + d\theta \wedge (\dot{A} - dA_0) + [A_0\theta, A].$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 3\psi_A &= *(F_A \wedge \psi^{(4)}) = *\big((F_A + d\theta \wedge \dot{A}) \wedge (\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 - Re\Omega \wedge d\theta)\big) \\ &= d\theta \wedge *_{CY^3}(F_A \wedge \frac{1}{2}\omega^2) + *_{CY^3}\big((\dot{A} - dA_0 + [A_0, A]) \wedge \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + F_A \wedge Re\Omega\big). \end{aligned}$$

Let CY^3 be a complete Calabi-Yau 3-fold, with Kähler form ω and holomorphic volume form Ω ([9]). The form Ω give us a Hodge star

$$*_\Omega : \Lambda^{0,p}(CY^3, \mathfrak{g}_E^{\mathbb{C}}) \to \Lambda^{0,3-p}(CY^3, \mathfrak{g}_E^{\mathbb{C}})$$

defined by $*_\Omega(\cdot) = *(\cdot \wedge \Omega)$.

Let A be a connection on a bundle E over M. We can define $\xi_A \in \Lambda^{0,1}(M, \mathfrak{g}_E^{\mathbb{C}})$, such that

$$*\,(\xi_A \wedge \Omega) = F_A^{0,2}. \tag{4.5}$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let A be a Yang-Mills connection a compact Calabi-Yau 3-fold. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) the connection A with harmonic curvature;

(2) ξ_A is $\bar{\partial}_A$-harmonic.

where ψ_A is defined as (4.5).

Proof. In a local special unitary frame,

$$F_A^{0,2} = F_{23}^{0,2} d\bar{z}^2 \wedge d\bar{z}^3 + F_{31}^{0,2} d\bar{z}^3 \wedge d\bar{z}^1 + F_{12}^{0,2} d\bar{z}^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^2,$$

hence from (4.5)

$$\xi_A = -(F_{23}^{2,0} d\bar{z}^1 + F_{31}^{2,0} d\bar{z}^2 + F_{12}^{2,0} d\bar{z}^3),$$

In a directly calculate, we have

$$*(F_A^{0,2} \wedge \Omega) = \xi_A.$$

The Bianchi identity implies $\bar{\partial}_A F_A^{0,2} = 0$, which is equivalent to

$$\bar{\partial}_A^* \xi_A = *(\bar{\partial}_A F_A^{0,2} \wedge \Omega) = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Applying $\bar{\partial}_A^*$ to each side of (4.5) gives

$$- * (\bar{\partial}_A \xi_A \wedge \Omega) = \bar{\partial}_A^* F_A^{0,2}.$$

Hence the connection A with a harmonic curvature, i.e. $\bar{\partial}_A^* F_A^{0,2} = \bar{\partial}_A F_A^{0,2} = 0$, is equivalent to ξ_A is $\bar{\partial}_A$-harmonic. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let CY^3 be a compact Calabi-Yau 3-fold, let E be a G-bundle over X, and let A be a Yang-Mills connection on E. Then the pullback of A: $D_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \wedge d\theta + d_A$ to the G_2-manifold $CY^3 \times S^1$ is also a Yang-Mills connection:*

$$D_A * F_A = d_A(d\theta \wedge *_{CY^3} F_A) = -d\theta \wedge d_A *_{CY^3} F_A = 0.$$

Here we used that $d_A *_{CY^3} F_A = 0$ and $*_{CY^3}$ denotes the Hodge $*$-operator on CY^3. Furthermore, if A be a stable Yang-Mills connection over CY^3:

$$\int_{CY^3} |d_A \eta|^2 + 2\langle F_A, \eta \wedge \eta \rangle \geq 0,$$

here $\eta \in \Lambda^1(CY^3, \mathfrak{g}_E)$. Then the pull back of A to $CY^3 \times S^1$ also be a stable Yang-Mills connection:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{CY^3 \times S^1} |D_A \tilde{\eta}(\theta, x)|^2 + 2\langle F_A, \tilde{\eta}(\theta, x) \wedge \tilde{\eta}(\theta, x) \rangle \\
&= \int_{S^1} \big(\int_{CY^3} |d_A \eta(\theta, x)|^2 + 2\langle F_A, \eta(\theta, x) \wedge \eta(\theta, x) \rangle \big) d\theta \\
&+ \int_{CY^3 \times S^1} |d_A \eta_0 \wedge d\theta + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \wedge d\theta|^2 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{\eta} \in \Lambda^1(CY^3 \times S^1, \mathfrak{g}_E)$, we denote $\tilde{\eta}(\theta, x) := \eta_0 d\theta + \eta(\theta, x)$.

If A is Yang-Mills connection and ξ_A is d_A-harmonic, i.e.

$$d_A(F_A^{0,2} \wedge \Omega) = d_A^*(F_A^{0,2} \wedge \Omega) = 0,$$

here Ω is holomorphic volume on CY^3. Then the pullback of A to the G_2-manifold $CY^3 \times S^1$ also satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_A * (F_A \wedge \psi^{(4)}) &= D_A\big(d\theta \wedge *_{CY^3}(F_A \wedge \frac{1}{2}\omega^2) + *_{CY^3}(F_A \wedge Re\Omega)\big) \\
&= -d\theta \wedge d_A(\Lambda_\omega F_A) + d_A *_{CY^3} (F_A \wedge Re\Omega) \\
&= d_A *_{CY^3} \big((F_A^{0,2} + F_A^{2,0}) \wedge \frac{1}{2}(\Omega + \bar{\Omega})\big) \; from \; Proposition \; 4.1 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} d_A(\xi_A + \xi_A^\dagger) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. ψ_A is d_A-harmonic, here ψ_A is defined as in (3.6). If ψ_A is d_A-harmonic, then we get

$$0 = d_A(\xi_A + \xi_A^\dagger) = \bar\partial_A\xi_A + \partial_A\xi_A^\dagger + (\partial_A\xi_A + \bar\partial_A\xi_A^\dagger),$$

hence

$$0 = \bar\partial_A\xi_A \; and \; 0 = \partial_A\xi_A + \bar\partial_A\xi_A^\dagger \tag{4.7}$$

In a local special unitary frame,

$$\xi_A = -(F_{23}^{2,0}d\bar z^1 + F_{31}^{2,0}d\bar z^2 + F_{12}^{2,0}d\bar z^3),$$

we observation that

$$\partial_A\partial_A\xi_A = [F_A^{2,0}, \xi_A] = 0.$$

By Kähler identity, we have

$$\sqrt{-1}\bar\partial_A^*\partial_A\xi_A = \Lambda_w(\partial_A\partial_A\xi_A) - \partial_A(\Lambda_w\partial_A\xi_A) = -\partial_A(\sqrt{-1}\bar\partial_A^*\xi_A) = 0.$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \|\partial_A\xi_A + \bar\partial_A\xi_A^\dagger\|^2 = \|\partial_A\xi_A\|^2 + \|\bar\partial_A\xi_A^\dagger\|^2 + 2\langle\partial_A\xi_A, \bar\partial_A\xi_A^\dagger\rangle \\ &= \|\partial_A\xi_A\|^2 + \|\bar\partial_A\xi_A^\dagger\|^2 + 2\langle\bar\partial_A^*\partial_A\xi_A, \xi_A^\dagger\rangle \\ &= \|\partial_A\xi_A\|^2 + \|\bar\partial_A\xi_A^\dagger\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $0 = \partial_A\xi_A$, then ξ_A is d_A-harmonic.

Corollary 4.5. *Let CY^3 be a compact Calabi-Yau 3-fold with holonomy equal to $SU(3)$, let A be a connection on a bundle E over CY^3 with compact, semi-simple Lie group. If A is a stable Yang-Mills connection and ξ_A is d_A-harmonic, then $(E, \bar\partial_A)$ is a holomorphic bundle. Further more, if A is irreducible, then A is a ω-instanton.*

Proof. Let A is a connection over CY^3, if A is a stable Yang-Mills connection and ξ_A is harmonic, then the pullback connection is also a stable Yang-Mills connection over G_2-manifold $CY^3 \times S^1$ and ψ_A is harmonic. Then, we can get

$$0 = \psi_A \wedge \psi_A = \big(-(\Lambda_\omega F_A)d\theta + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_A + \xi_A^\dagger)\big) \wedge \big(-(\Lambda_\omega F_A)d\theta + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_A + \xi_A^\dagger)\big),$$

Hence

$$0 = [\Lambda_\omega F_A, \xi_A] = [\xi_A, \xi_A] = [\xi_A, \xi_A^\dagger].$$

The Weitzenböck formula now gives

$$0 = \|d_A\xi_A\|^2 + \|d_A^*\xi_A\|^2 = \|\nabla_A\xi_A\|^2.$$

Here we used the vanishing of the Ricci curvature on Calabi-Yau manifolds and (4.14). Hence

$$\nabla_A\xi_A = 0.$$

Let $R_{ij}dx^i \wedge dx^j$ denote the Riemann curvature tensor viewed as an $ad(T^*M)$ valued 2-form, The vanishing of $\nabla_A\psi_A$ implies

$$0 = [\nabla_i, \nabla_j]\xi_A = ad((F_{ij}) + R_{ij})\xi_A$$

for all i, j. Because ψ_A takes values in an abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_E$, $[F_{ij}, \psi_A] \perp R_{ij}\psi_A$. Hence $R_{ij}\xi_A = 0$, and the components of ξ_A are in the kernel of the Riemannian curvature operator. This reduces the Riemannian holonomy group, unless $\xi_A = 0$ which implies $F_A^{0,2} = 0$. Thus, we have the dichotomy: $\xi_A \neq 0$ implies a reduction of the holonomy of CY^3, and ξ_A implies the bundle is holomorphic. □

In fact, if A is stable Yang-Mills connection, we only need the connection with harmonic curvature, we can get the following theorem:

Theorem 4.6. *Let CY^3 be a compact Calabi-Yau 3-fold with holonomy equal to $SU(3)$, let A be a connection on a bundle E over CY^3 with compact, semi-simple Lie group. If A is a stable Yang-Mills connection with harmonic curvature, then $(E, \bar{\partial}_A)$ is a holomorphic bundle. Further more, if A is irreducible, then A is a ω-instanton.*

From the Kähler identity,

$$\omega \wedge F_A = *(F_A^{0,2} + \frac{2}{3}\hat{F}_A - F_{A0}^{1,1} + F_A^{0,2}).$$

We can write Yang-Mills functional to

$$YM(A) = 4\|F_A^{0,2}\|^2 + \|\Lambda_\omega F_A\|^2 + topological\ constant. \tag{4.8}$$

The energy functional $\|\Lambda_\omega F_A\|^2$ plays an important role in the study of Hermitian-Einstein connections (see [6] and [21]). Recall that a connection on a holomorphic vector bundle on a Kähler manifold is called Hermitian-Einstein if $\Lambda F_A = \lambda I_E$, for some constant λ. Here I_E denotes the identity endomorphism.

Let A be a stable Yang-Mills connection. By definition, the second variation of Yang-Mills energy is positive:

$$0 \leq 4\|\bar{\partial}_A\eta^{0,1}\|^2 + 8Re\langle F_A^{0,2}, \eta^{0,1} \wedge \eta^{0,1}\rangle + 2Re\langle \Lambda_\omega F_A, \Lambda_\omega([\eta^{0,1} \wedge \bar{\eta}^{0,1}])\rangle. \tag{4.9}$$

for all $\mathfrak{g}_E$ valued $(0,1)$-form $\eta^{0,1}$. In addition, we assume A not only a stable Yang-Mills connection, but also with a harmonic curvature, i.e. $d_A^* F_A^{0,2} = d_A F_A^{0,2} = 0$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let CY^3 be a compact Calabi-Yau manifold and A be a connection over CY^3 with compact, semi-simple Lie group. If A is a Yang-Mills connection with harmonic curvature, then*

$$[\xi_A^\dagger, \Lambda_\omega F_A] = 0$$

Proof. From Proposition 4.1, since A is a Yang-Mills connection with harmonic curvature, then we have $\bar{\partial}_A \Lambda_w F_A = 0$, hence

$$0 = \bar{\partial}_A \bar{\partial}_A \Lambda_w F_A = [F_A^{0,2}, \Lambda_w F_A]. \tag{4.10}$$

In a local special unitary frame, form (4.10), we obtain that

$$0 = [F_{12}^{0,2}, \Lambda_w F_A] d\bar{z}^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^2 + [F_{13}^{0,2}, \Lambda_w F_A] d\bar{z}^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^3 + [F_{23}^{0,2}, \Lambda_w F_A] d\bar{z}^2 \wedge d\bar{z}^3.$$

Its implies that

$$0 = [F_{12}^{0,2}, \Lambda_w F_A] = [F_{13}^{0,2}, \Lambda_w F_A] = [F_{23}^{0,2}, \Lambda_w F_A].$$

By the definition of ξ_A, in a local special unitary frame, we can re-write $\xi_A^\dagger$ to

$$\xi_A^\dagger = -(F_{12}^{0,2} dz^3 + F_{31}^{0,2} dz^2 + F_{32}^{0,2} dz^1).$$

Hence, we have

$$[\xi_A^\dagger, \Lambda_w F_A] = 0 \tag{4.11}$$

□

Since $\Lambda_\omega F_A$ is image, form (4.11), we have

$$[\xi_A, \overline{(\Lambda_w F_A)}] = -[\xi_A, (\Lambda_\omega F_A)^T] = 0,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Lambda_\omega F_A, \Lambda_\omega([\xi_A \wedge \bar{\xi}_A]) \rangle &= \langle (\Lambda_\omega F_A)\omega, [\xi_A \wedge \bar{\xi}_A] \rangle \\ &= -\int_M tr([\xi_A \wedge \bar{\xi}_A] \wedge \overline{(\Lambda_w F_A)}^T * \omega) \\ &= -\int_M tr([\overline{(\Lambda_\omega F_A)}^T, \xi_A] \wedge \bar{\xi}_A) \wedge *\omega = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Then taking $\eta = \lambda \xi_A + \bar{\lambda} \xi \psi_A$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, as our test variation and applying (4.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq 4\|\bar{\lambda} \bar{\partial}_A \xi_A\|^2 + 8Re\langle F_A^{0,2}, \lambda \xi_A \wedge \lambda \xi_A \rangle + 2Re\langle \Lambda_\omega F_A, \Lambda_\omega([\lambda \xi_A \wedge \bar{\lambda} \bar{\xi}_A^{0,1}]) \rangle \\ &= 8Re\langle F_A^{0,2}, \lambda \xi_A \wedge \lambda \xi_A \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\lambda^2 = -\langle F_A^{0,2}, \xi_A \wedge \xi_A \rangle$, we see that

$$\langle F_A^{0,2}, \xi_A \wedge \xi_A \rangle = 0$$

Lemma 4.8. *Let A be a stable Yang-Mills connection with harmonic curvature over a Calabi-Yau 3-fold, then the components of $F_A^{2,0}$ thus generates an abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_E^{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. We consider the variation $\eta = \eta^{0,1} + \bar{\eta}^{0,1}$, where $\eta^{0,1} = t\xi_A \pm t^{\frac{3}{2}}\nu$, for $\nu \in \Omega^{0,1}(M, \mathfrak{g}_E)$. From (4.9) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq\ & 4\|t\bar{\partial}_A\xi_A + t^{3/2}\bar{\partial}_A\nu\|^2 \\
& + 8Re\langle F_A^{0,2}, t^2\xi_A \wedge \xi_A \pm 2t^{\frac{5}{2}}[\xi_A \wedge \nu] + t^3\nu \wedge \nu\rangle \\
& + 2Re\langle \Lambda_\omega F_A, \Lambda_\omega(t^2[\xi_A \wedge \bar{\xi}_A] \pm t^{5/2}[\nu, \bar{\xi}_A] \pm t^{5/2}[\xi_A, \bar{\nu}] + t^3[\nu, \bar{\nu}])\rangle \\
& = \pm 8t^{5/2}Re\langle F_A^{0,2}, [\xi_A \wedge \nu]\rangle \pm t^{5/2}\langle \Lambda_\omega F_A, \Lambda_\omega([\nu, \bar{\xi}_A] + [\xi_A, \bar{\nu}])\rangle + O(t^3)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Lambda_\omega F_A$ is image, from $[\bar{\xi}_A^T, \Lambda_\omega F_A] = 0$, we have

$$[(\Lambda_\omega F_A)^T, \bar{\xi}_A] = [(\Lambda_\omega F_A)^T, \xi_A] = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\langle \Lambda_\omega F_A, \Lambda_\omega([\nu, \bar{\xi}_A])\rangle = -\int_M tr([(\Lambda_\omega F_A)^T, \bar{\xi}_A] \wedge \nu) \wedge *\omega = 0.$$

and

$$\langle \Lambda_\omega F_A, \Lambda_\omega([\xi_A, \bar{\nu}])\rangle = -\int_M tr([(\Lambda_\omega F_A)^T, \xi_A] \wedge \bar{\nu}) \wedge *\omega = 0.$$

this reduces to

$$0 \leq \pm Re\langle F_A^{0,2}, [\xi_A \wedge \nu]\rangle + O(t^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

take $t \to 0$, we see that

$$0 = Re\langle F_A^{0,2}, [\xi_A \wedge \nu]\rangle = Re\langle *[*F_A^{0,2}, \xi_A], \nu\rangle.$$

for all ν. Hence

$$[*F_A^{0,2}, \xi_A] = 0.$$

In a special unitary frame, this is equivalent to

$$0 = 2[F_{12}^{2,0}, F_{31}^{2,0}]d\bar{z}^1 - 2[F_{12}^{2,0}, F_{23}^{2,0}]d\bar{z}^2 - 2[F_{31}^{2,0}, F_{23}^{0,2}]d\bar{z}^3.$$

In components, this is equivalent to

$$0 = [F_{su}^{2,0}, F_{ab}^{2,0}] \tag{4.13}$$

all s, t, a, b. The components of $F_A^{2,0}$ thus generates an abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_E^{\mathbb{C}}$. □

In particular

$$\xi_A \wedge \xi_A = 0 \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$F_{A+t(\lambda\xi_A+\bar{\lambda}\bar{\xi}_A)}^{0,2} = F_A^{0,2} \tag{4.15}$$

for all t and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We can also show that

$$\xi_{A+t(\lambda\xi_A+\bar{\lambda}\bar{\xi}_A)} = \xi_A \tag{4.16}$$

for all t. To see this, first observe that for a general smooth one parameter family of connections A_t,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\xi_{A_t} &= \frac{d}{dt}\frac{1}{3}\Lambda_\omega F_{A_t} = \frac{1}{3}\Lambda_\omega d_{A_t}(\frac{d}{dt}A_t)\\ &= \frac{1}{3}(\sqrt{-1}\bar\partial^*_{A_t} - \sqrt{-1}\partial^*_{A_t})(\frac{d}{dt}A_t)\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $A_t = A + t(\lambda\xi_A + \bar\lambda\bar\xi_A)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{3}(\sqrt{-1}\bar\partial^*_{A_t} - \sqrt{-1}\partial^*_{A_t})\frac{d}{dt}A_t = \frac{1}{3}(\sqrt{-1}\lambda\bar\partial^*_{A_t}\xi_A - \sqrt{-1}\bar\lambda\partial^*_{A_t}\bar\xi_A) = 0$$

Here we have used $\xi_{A_t} = \xi_A$ for this variation (see (4.15))to extend $\bar\partial^*_{A_t}\xi_{A_t} = 0$ to $\bar\partial^*_{A_t}\xi_A = 0$. We have the following lemma

Lemma 4.9. *Let CY^3 be a Calabi-Yau 3-fold and A be a stability Yang-Mills connections with harmonic curvature, then*

$$YM\big(A + t(\lambda\xi_A + \bar\lambda\bar\xi_A)\big) = YM(A)$$

The preceding lemma implies that the quartic polynomial in t, $YM\big(A + t(\xi_A + \bar\xi_A)\big)$ is in fact constant. Here its t, t^2, t^3, t^4 coefficients vanish. We can get for identities

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \langle F_A, d_A(\lambda\xi_A + \bar\lambda\bar\xi_A)\rangle\\ 0 &= \|d_A(\lambda\xi_A + \bar\lambda\bar\xi_A)\|^2 + 2|\lambda|^2\langle F_A, [\xi_A \wedge \bar\xi_A]\rangle\\ 0 &= \langle d_A(\lambda\xi_A + \bar\lambda\bar\xi_A), [\xi_A \wedge \bar\xi_A]\rangle\\ 0 &= |\lambda|^4\|[\xi_A \wedge \bar\xi_A]\|^2\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Its implies

$$[\xi_A \wedge \bar\xi_A] = 0 \tag{4.18}$$

and therefore the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_E^{\mathbb{C}}$ generated by the components of $F_A^{0,2}$ and $F_A^{2,0}$ is abelian. Form the t^2 coefficients , we have

$$0 = d_A(\lambda\xi_A + \bar\lambda\bar\xi_A)$$

for all λ, then

$$0 = d_A\xi_A = d_A\bar\xi_A.$$

4.2 Hyperkähler 4-manifold

Definition 4.10. A hyperkähler manifold is a Riemannian manifold (X, g) together with a triple (I_1, I_2, I_3) of parallel orthogonal complex structures satisfying $I_1I_2 = -I_2I_1 = I_3$.

Let (X, g, I_1, I_2, I_3) be a hyperkähler 4-manifold. For $i = 1, 2, 3$ denote by $\omega_i := g(I_i\cdot, \cdot)$ the Kähler form associated with the complex structure I_i. Choose an orthonormal triple $(\delta^1, \delta^2, \delta^3)$ of constant 1-forms on T^3. Then $T^3 \times X$ is a G_2-manifold with torsion free G_2-structure $\phi^{(3)}$ defined by

$$\phi^{(3)} := \delta^1 \wedge \delta^2 \wedge \delta^3 + \delta^1 \wedge \omega^1 + \delta^2 \wedge \omega^2 - \delta^3 \wedge \omega_3.$$

The metric and the orientation on $T^3 \times X$ induced by $\phi^{(3)}$ coincide with the product metric and the product orientation. To see that, note the each cotangent space to X has positive orthonormal basis $(e^0, \dots, e^3)$ with $e^i = I_i e^0$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1 &= e^0 \wedge e^1 + e^2 \wedge e^3,\\ \omega_2 &= e^0 \wedge e^2 - e^1 \wedge e^3,\\ \omega_1 &= e^0 \wedge e^3 + e^1 \wedge e^2.\end{aligned}$$

This immediately yields a orientating-preserving isometry $T_x(T^3 \times X) \to \mathbb{R}^7$ identifying $\phi^{(3)}$ with ϕ_0 (see [25] Example 2.6). The coassociative calibration $\psi^{(4)} := *\phi^{(3)}$ is given by

$$\psi^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_1 \wedge \omega_1 + \delta^2 \wedge \delta^3 \wedge \omega_1 + \delta^3 \wedge \delta^1 \wedge \omega_2 - \delta^1 \wedge \delta^2 \wedge \omega_3.$$

A connection $\mathcal{A}$ over $M = T^3 \times X$ is given by a local connection matrix

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_i \delta^j + \sum_{i=0}^{3} A_i e^i.$$

We denote $A = \sum_{i=0}^{3} A_i e^i$ and $a = \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_i \delta^j$, then the curvature is given by

$$F_{\mathcal{A}} = F_A + \sum_j \delta^j \wedge \partial_j A + F_a + \sum_i e^i \wedge \partial_i a + [A \wedge a].$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}3\psi_A = *(F_{\mathcal{A}} \wedge \psi^{(4)}) = \delta^1 *_4 (F_A \wedge \omega^1) + \delta^2 *_4 (F_A \wedge \omega^2) - \delta^3 *_4 (F_A \wedge \omega^3)\\ + *_4(\partial_1 A \wedge \omega_1) + *_4(\partial_2 A \wedge \omega_2) - *_4(\partial_3 A \wedge \omega_3) + f(a, \partial_i a).\end{aligned}$$

where $f(a, \partial_i a)$ is a sum of terms involving at most one derivative of a. Let CY^2 be a compact Calabi-Yau 2-fold, with Kähler form ω and harmonic value form Ω. The form Ω give us a Hodge star

$$*_\Omega : \Lambda^{0,2}(\mathfrak{g}_E^{\mathbb{C}}) \to \Lambda^0(\mathfrak{g}_E^{\mathbb{C}})$$

defined by $*_\Omega(\cdot) = *(\cdot \wedge \Omega)$. Let A be a connection on a G-bundle E over CY^2. We can define a section $s \in \Lambda^0(CY^2, \mathfrak{g}_E^{\mathbb{C}})$, such that

$$*\,(s \wedge \Omega) = F_A^{0,2}, \tag{4.19}$$

hence, we have

$$-*\,(\bar\partial_A s \wedge \Omega) = \bar\partial_A^* F_A^{0,2}.$$

Proposition 4.11. *Let X be a compact hylerkähler 4-fold, let E be a G-bundle over X, and let A be a Yang-Mills connection on E. Then the pullback of A to the G_2-manifold $T^3 \times X$ is also a Yang-Mills connection:*

$$d_A * F_A = d_A(\delta^1 \wedge \delta^2 \wedge \delta^3 *_X F_A) = -\delta^1 \wedge \delta^2 \wedge \delta^3 \wedge d_A *_X F_A = 0,$$

here we used the $d_A *_X F_A = 0$ and $*_X$ denotes the Hodge $*$-operator on X. Furthermore, if A be a stable Yang-Mills connection over X:

$$\int_X |d_A\eta|^2 + 2\langle F_A, \eta \wedge \eta\rangle \geq 0,$$

here $\eta \in \Lambda^1(X, \mathfrak{g}_E)$. Then A also be a stable Yang-Mills connection over $T^3 \times X$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{T^3\times X} |d_A\tilde{\eta}(\delta^j, x^i)|^2 + 2\langle F_A, \tilde{\eta}(\delta^j, x^i) \wedge \tilde{\eta}(\delta^j, x^i)\rangle \\ =& \int_{T^3}\int_X \big(|d_A\eta(\delta^j, x^i)|^2 + |\sum_j d_A\eta_j \wedge \delta^k| + 2\langle F_A, \tilde{\eta}(\delta^j, x^i) \wedge \tilde{\eta}(\delta^j, x^i)\rangle\big)d\eta \geq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Here $\eta \in \Lambda^1(T^3 \times X, \mathfrak{g}_E)$, we denote $\tilde{\eta}(\delta^j, x^j) := \sum_j \eta_j\delta^j + \eta(\delta^j, x^i)$.

If A is a Yang-Mills connection with harmonic curvature, i.e.

$$\bar{\partial}_A *_X (F_A^{0,2} \wedge \Omega) = 0.$$

Then the pull back of A to the G_2-manifold $T^3 \times X$ also satisfies:

$$d_A * (F_A \wedge \psi^{(4)}) = d_A\big(\delta^1 * (F_A) \wedge \omega_1) + \delta^2 *_X (F_A \wedge \omega_2) - \delta^3(F_A \wedge \omega_3)\big) = 0.$$

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Zhi Hu for further discussions about this work. I also would like to thank Professor Mark Stern for kind comments regarding this and it companion article [19]. This work is partially supported by Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics of Chinese Academy of Sciences at USTC.

References

[1] R. L. Bryant, Metric with exceptional holonomy G_2 or $Spin(7)$. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1111, Springer, Berlin, (1985) 269–277

[2] R. L. Bryant, Metric with exceptional holonomy. Ann. of Math. **126**(2), (1987) 525–576

[3] R. L. Bryant, Some remarks on G_2-structures. arXiv: math/0305124v4.

[4] J. P. Bourguignon, H. B. Lawson, Stability and isolation phenomena for Yang-Mills fields. Comm. Math. Phys. **79**(2), (1981) 189–230

[5] E. Corriga, C. Devchand, D. B. Fairlie, J. Nuyts, First order equations for gauge fields in spaces of dimension great than four. Nucl.Phys.B. **214**(3), (1983) 452–464

[6] S. K. Donaldson, Anti self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles. Proc. London Math. Soc. **50**(1), (1985) 1–26

[7] S. K. Donaldson, R. P. Thomas, Gauge theory in higher dimensions. The Geometric Universe, Oxford. (1998) 31–47

[8] S. K. Donaldson, E. Segal, Gauge theory in higher dimensions, II. arXiv:0902.3239.

[9] M. Gross, D. Huybrechts, D. Joyce, Calabi-Yau Manifolds and Related Geometries: Lectures at a Summer School in Nordfjordeid, Norway, June, 2001, Springer. (2003)

[10] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz, E. Witten, Supperstring theory. Cambridge University Press. (1987)

[11] T. Huang, Instantons on Cylindrical Manifolds. Ann. Henri Poincaré. doi:10.1007/s00023-016-0503-8 (2016)

[12] M. Itoh, Yang-Mills connections over a complex surface and harmonic curvature. Compositio Mathematica. **62**, (1987) 95–106

[13] D. D. Joyce, Compact maifolds with special holomomy. Oxford Univ. Press (2000)

[14] R. Reyes-Carrion, A generalization of the notion of instanton. Diff. Geom. Appl. **8**, (1998) 1–20

[15] L. Sadun, J. Segert, Non-self-dual Yang-Mills connections with nonzero Chern number. Bulletin of the AMS. **24**(1), (1991) 163–170

[16] H. Sá Earp, G_2–instantons over asymptotically cylindrical manifolds. Geome. Topol. **19**(1), (2015) 61–111

[17] S. Salamon, Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups. Pitman Res. Notes Math. 201, Longman, Harlow, UK (1989)

[18] L. M. Sibner, R. J. Sibner, K. Uhlenbeck, Solutions to Yang-Mills equations that are not self-dual. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **86**(22), (1989) 8610–8613

[19] M. Stern, Geometry of minimal energy Yang-Mills connections. J. Differential Geometry **86**(1), (2010) 163–188

[20] G. Tian, Gauge theory and calibrated geometry, I. Ann. of Math. **151**(1), (2000) 193–268

[21] K. Uhlenbeck, S. T. Yau, On the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles. Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. **39**(S1), (1986) S257–S293

[22] M. Verbitsky, Manifolds with parallel differential forms and Kähler identities for G_2-manifolds. J. Geom. Phys. **61**(6), (2011) 1001–1016

[23] R. S. Ward, Completely solvable gauge field equations in dimension great than four. Nucl.Phys.B. **236**(2), (1984) 381–396

[24] R. Wells, Differential Analysis on Complex Manifolds, (Third ed.) Graduate Texts in Math. 65, Springer, New York, Berlin, (2008)

[25] T. Walpuski, G_2-instantons on generalised Kummer constructions. Geome. Topol. **17**(4), (2013) 2345-2388.